(not to be destroyed)

Previous references.

1904-5
(S & R)

File No. 257/04

Serial Nos. 1 to 13

Later references.

Sep 12 Sl. 368 Sec A 39-11
S-A 10-15 Sl. 166 B. Nov. 1915.
Sec A 189-20-1, 1a, 4-6 & 8.
Sec A 27-24-146
S. P. Sec. Sch 2.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B. for Diary

SUBJECT.

FILE No. 257 of 1904-05.

Adoption in the Chitaldrug Pallegar Family.

Diary

10	From the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury, letter No. 24, dated 3rd February 1905. Submits for the orders of Government the petitions from Pallegar Kasturi Rangappa Naik and H. Parsuramappa Naik, praying for sanction for the former's adoption of the latter's second son. To the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury, letter No. Fl. 5777, dated the 27th February 1905. Requests him to issue a notice to all concerned to file their objections, if any, to the proposed adoption of Gandugodli Bharamappa Naik and then finally to report on the case.
11	From Kasturi Rangappa Naik petition requests early disposal of his petition.
12	From the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury, letter No. 30, dated 23rd March 1905. States that the relations of Kasturi Rangappa Naik have no objection to his adopting Gandugodli Bharamappa Naik.
13	To the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury, Government Order No. 7517, dated 5th May 1905. Accords sanction to the adoption of Gandugodli Bharamappa Naik by Pallegar Kasturi Rangappa Naik of the Chitaldrug Pallegar Family.

G. R. S. 11] 1904./05

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(1st A)

NOTES.

File No. 287 of 1904-05

FILE HEADING.

Pension in the Chitaldrug Polegar family

From The Officer in charge of the State Steps Treasury

No. 24 Dated 3. 2. 1905 Received 6. 2. 1905

Diary No. 3128	Enclos. 2	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 80

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits the petitions from Polegar Kantiri Rangappa Naik & H. Parasuramappa Naik praying for sanction for the former to adopt as son the latter's second son for the orders of Govt

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Office note dated the 5th Sep 1898, which was ordered to be filed for the time being, discloses the fact that among other things the choice of Polegar Kantiri Rangappa Naik so far as of a son to him or his daughter, lay

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—25,000—18-8-04

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SM File No. 257 of 1904-05

Serial No.

among the grandsons of Linganayya

Papers marked K flagged X & W.

Show that Veeran Rasmaraappa Naik

is a son of the late Linganaya

The boy whose adoption by

Kasturi Rangappa is solicited is

called Vira the 2nd son of Rasmaraappa Naik

Submitted

21.4.6/2/05

The Kazana Bakshi's letter ~~and the petition therewith forwarded~~ shows that the adoption has taken place as a matter of fact and that there has been a gump and taking the boy in adoption

This is not however quite consistent from the petition forwarded therewith from which it would appear that it is intended to take the boy in adoption

The omission of the adoption (~~which has been made without the previous consent of government~~) is apparently to secure the pension now enjoyed by the adoptive father Kasturi Rangappa Naik to the adopted boy.

The ratification of government to the adoption is sought with the above object. Whether the adoption is valid is a mixed question of fact and law which may arise for the decision of the courts after the death of Rangappa and it seems to me

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

to be premature, if not unnecessary now to recognize the adoption for the purpose of future succession or distribution of Ramaswami's pension.

The intimation now given of the alleged adoption may simply be recorded, the Government reserving to itself the right to dispose of Ramaswami's pension after his demise, as the Government may deem proper.

Submitted for orders

H
16.2.05

This is submitted to the Dewan Sahib with the Govt order on the subject. — Whether the adoption may be recognized or not (for the purpose of the pension) is the question.

17.2.1905

H
17.2.05.

4813/17.2.05. Let the Dy Officer of the Collectorate will concerned to file Agreement within 30 or 60 days and file final report upon the matter after the entering of the period. Also ascertain recognition or rejection thereof be over till the death of the present recipient

Note—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

4.20.2.05

Draft accd by 20/2/1905

18.II.05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SH File No. 257 of 1904-05

Serial No.	
	Draft Submitted for approval N 21/2/05 Asst Natl Secy 24 22.2.05

Passed
22.2.05

11 To The Officer in charge of the State Hazur Treasury Letter no. H 5777 of the 27.2.1905

Requests him to issue a notice to all concerned to file their objections if any, to the proposed adoption of Gundgodi Bhimappa Naik, and then to finally report on the case.

From The Officer in charge of the State Hazur Treasury Letter no. 30 of the 23rd March 1905

States that the Bandhus" of Kasturi rangappa Naik have no objection to the adoption of Gundugodi Bhimappa Naik.

The living members in the family are reported to comprise of the undermentioned members:- 1. Dodamad Kere Naik
2. Huchu Pamsaramappa Naik
3 Here mad Kere Naik
4. Kasturi Rangappa Naik
5 Mungappa Naik.

No 4. is the applicant Seeking the Sanction

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S/14 File No. 257 of 1904-05

Serial No.

of Government for adopting one of the sons of No. 2. The others are reported not to have any objection.

Dod Madkeri Naik — States that he has no objection to the proposed adoption being sanctioned but feels called upon to invite attention to Govt. No. 30 of the 22-6-1895

Jaya Lakshmi Nayaki Surendrani Hire Madkeri Naik

She has no objection to the adoption subject to the condition that her other relations viz Mungeshpa Naik Dod Madkeri Naik see no objection but—

Mungeshpa Naik

Is in favour of adoption and urges for Government sanction thereto.

The G.O. of the 22nd June 1895 referred to relates to a distribution of the pension enjoyed by Seddalingama among the several claimants on her death and of the decision of Government in the matter of the claim of Bharmappa Naik as an adopted member of the family

Laid for orders.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

27/4/05

R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S H A File No. 257 of 1905

Serial No.

submt to secy for orders as to whether the adoption may be recognised

For a draft 11-4-05

12.4.1905

21/4/05

Draft submitted for approval

N. 14/4/05

Draft submitted by the Dewan Sahib

19.4.1905

17-4-05 Approved by Dewan

27-4-05

5997/17-4-05

To the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury.

G. O. No. 7517 of the 5. 5. 1905 — Accords sanction to the adoption of Soudugodli Bhramappa Naik by Pollegar Kasturi Rangappa Naik

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

MGCPB—20,000—1-11-04.

D 3/28

No 24/Enc 2.

Polleger Office,
State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore, 3d Feb: 1905

From

B. Gopalengar Esqre,
Officer in Charge, State
Huzur Treasury and
Khazana Boikshe
Bangalore.

To,

B. K. Venkatavardengar Esqre,
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore
Revenue & General Department
Bangalore

Sir

I have the honor to submit, in original, the accompanying petitions addressed by Kasturi Rangappa Naik and Hoocha Parasuramiappa Naik of Chitaldroog Polliger Family. Rangaraya, father of the latter, was the brother of the former. According to the Sarawards maintained in this office, the family monthly pension was equally divided between the brothers in November 1878. On

the

the death of the Said Lingarajea in January 1898 his Share of the pension at the general enhanced rate Viz. Rs 60-0-4 was split up and distributed among his two sons. Kasturi Rangappa Naik is 56 years of age and on receipt of a Stipend of Rs 60-0-4. He reports that being issueless he has adopted the second Son of Hoocha Peras-uramappa Naik named Tjandoo-Tjoddi-Bharma-ppa Naik and aged about 14 years, and solicits that the Government be pleased to ratify the Same. Hoocha Parasuramappa Naik admits having given the boy in adoption.

2. The boy adopted is one of the nearest of male Kinsmen permissible by Hindu Law, and the adoption is also in accordance with the principles laid down

in

in Government Proceedings Camp
No. 1698 dated 29 October 1887 and
repeated in Proceedings No 5187-95
dated 30 September 1902 Mus 795 I beg to
support the prayer of Kasturi
Rangappa Naik.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
B. Subbarayan
Khazana Buksha,
& State Huzur Treasury
Bangalore

Pol Reg No 35—4

Memorandum
Ref Number
Ref Cover.
Reference Subject
31/1 1927

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಸಮಾಜದಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ಕಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾಲ್ಯವಿವಾಹ ಪದ್ಧತಿ ಇಂದಿಗೂ ಜೀವಂತವಾಗಿದೆ. ಇದರಿಂದ ಹೆಣ್ಣುಮಕ್ಕಳ ಭವಿಷ್ಯ ಹಾಳಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ. ಈ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯನ್ನು ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಕಾನೂನು ಜಾರಿಗೆ ತಂದಿದೆ. ಆದರೂ ಕೆಲವು ಕಡೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಪದ್ಧತಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟಲು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕು. ಬಾಲ್ಯವಿವಾಹ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯಿಂದ ಹೆಣ್ಣುಮಕ್ಕಳ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಹಾಳಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅವರ ವಿದ್ಯಾಭ್ಯಾಸಕ್ಕೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅವರ ಭವಿಷ್ಯ ಹಾಳಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯನ್ನು ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟಲು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ಸಹಕರಿಸಬೇಕು. ಬಾಲ್ಯವಿವಾಹ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯಿಂದ ಹೆಣ್ಣುಮಕ್ಕಳ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಹಾಳಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅವರ ವಿದ್ಯಾಭ್ಯಾಸಕ್ಕೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅವರ ಭವಿಷ್ಯ ಹಾಳಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯ

15-1-[illegible]

H. Ramadevamma
Pattedarthi
Gurumarga

ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವ ಪಹಣಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು ಲಗತ್ತಿಸಿದೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಗ್ರಾಮ ಲೆಕ್ಕಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ಆದೇಶಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವ ಪಹಣಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ 60-0-4 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಒಟ್ಟು ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ 7-00 ಎಕರೆ ಇರುವುದಾಗಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ

H. Parasuramappa Naik
Pensioner

Dt 16-1-15

D. 3308

Ranga Reddy Dist. Court

SAM. 257-04

To
Branch II

Seen
16.2.05

16/2/05

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 257 Jagir
Serial No

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No. H5777
SRA 257-04

General and Rev. Secretariat
( )
, the 27 Febry 1905.

To
The Officer in charge of the
State Stamp Treasury.

Date of issue ditto

Signed by Mr Satyanarayana Chetty Sir

I am directed to request you to issue a notice to all concerned to file their objections

soliciting ~~requesting~~ the orders of Government recognizing the proposed ~~adoption~~ adoption by the female of the second son of the latter

~~for orders in the matter of sanction to the proposed adoption of Gowda Sotti Bakmappa Naik by Kasturi Rangappa Naik of Chitaldroog.~~ a Palegar

over.

SUBJECT.

Copies sent

12. if any ~~to~~
~~from~~ from the proposed
adoption, within 30. or 60 days
and ~~to~~ to finally
report upon the matter
after the expiry of the period.

Have etc.

Secretary
22.2.05

Decision ordered

22.2.05

from the petitions it would appear that it has been proposed to make the adoption thereof ~~from~~ in your letter under reference it is stated that the adoption has been made. The fact may be ascertained and intimated.

22.2.1905

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—20,000-19-12—04.

D 3889

No 30/Enc: 17 first with 7 papers.

State Huzur Treasury

Bangalore Dated 23rd March '05.

From

B. Gopalaiengar Esquire
Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury
Bangalore.

To,

B. K. Venkatavardaiengar Esquire
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue and General
Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. R 5777/SA 257-04 Dated the 27th February last, I have the honor to submit that the "Bandhus" of Kusturirangappa Naik state that they have no objection to offer to the adoption by him of Gundugodli - Bhavanapa Naik, second son of Kuchaparavarappa Naik. Their reports are submitted in original together with a Genealogical tree.

2. Kusturi-Rangappa Naik explains that he reported the fact of adoption in the past tense, consultation ceremony about propitious and other good omens for the continuance of his line and house and the subsequent contract between himself and the parents of the boy with the consent of his wife for giving and taking of the boy in adoption having been completed as per custom in the family, only further auspicious forms have to be passed through, on receipt of orders of Government ratifying his action. He begs that on account of his bad state of health early orders of sanction be passed on his application.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

B Gopaliengar

Officer in Charge
State Huzur Treasury

B

Parish of papers submitted to the Secretary
to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore General & Revenue Departments
with his No 30 dated 23-3-05.

Dodamedakeri Naiko's report dated 8/3/05.

Muregappa Naiko's report dated 9-2-05.

Hennadikere Naiko's report through the
Mothers-guardian dated 10-3-1905.

Huchu Parasuramappa Naiko's report
dated 15-3-05.

E/a & E/B. Kashiviranggappa Naiko's reports
dated 11th & 16th March 05.

7 Genealogical tree of the Chitaldrug
Pollegar family of this branch.

Bangalore
23-3-05

Officer in Charge
State Huzur Treasury

Pol Reg No 45.

A

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸ್ಟೇಟ್—ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಯಾವಾಗ ಪಾಠಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಕೃತ ಪಾಠಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಸುವ ಕ್ರಮವು ಬಹಳ ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಈ ಪಾಠಶಾಲೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಿಸುವ ಕ್ರಮವು ಬಹಳ ಸುಲಭವಾಗಿದೆ.

B. D. Adadakar Mark

Chitaldroog
8th March 1905

Pol Reg Cases 41

Rule
13.2.06

ನ್ಯಾಯಾಲಯದ ತೀರ್ಪು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಿದೆ

ಸುಪ್ರೀಂ - ಪು - 89 ಪು 253; ಬಾಂಬೆ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟ್ ತೀರ್ಪುಗಳು 219; 1853 ಕಲ್ಕತ್ತಾ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟ್ ತೀರ್ಪುಗಳು 553; 1859 ಕಲ್ಕತ್ತಾ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟ್ ತೀರ್ಪುಗಳು 229; 1 ಮದ್ರಾಸ್ ತೀರ್ಪುಗಳು 106; 406; 1859 ಕಲ್ಕತ್ತಾ ತೀರ್ಪುಗಳು 118; ಸುಪ್ರೀಂ - ಪು - 86 ಪು 43; ಸುಪ್ರೀಂ - 13 ಪು 128 ಮತ್ತು ಅಲಹಾಬಾದ್ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟ್ ತೀರ್ಪುಗಳನ್ನು ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಿದೆ

ಈ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿದಾರರು ಮೂಲ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳಾಗಿದ್ದು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಗಳು ಮೂಲ ವಾದಿಗಳಾಗಿದ್ದರು. ಮೂಲ ವಾದಿಗಳು ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿದಾರರ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅವರು ಮೇಲ್ಮನವಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಕೆಲವು ಜಮೀನುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹಕ್ಕುಗಳನ್ನು ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಘೋಷಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿದ್ದರು. ಮೂಲ ವಾದಿಗಳ

Pol Reg No 49

Given before me
dated
15/3/1905

Pol Reg No 50.

ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೋರಿರುವ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಪೊಲೀಸ್/ಆರ್‌ಟಿಐ-ಕಾ.ಪ.ಕಾ-೨-೨೬೨೨/೨೦೧೬ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ದಿನಾಂಕ: ೧೫-೧೨-೨೦೧೬

ಸಹಿ/-
ಸಹಾಯಕ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಕಾ.ಪ.ಕಾ-೨, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರ

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಕಾ.ಪ.ಕಾ-೨, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರ

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಆಯುಕ್ತರು
ಕಾ.ಪ.ಕಾ-೨, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ನಗರ

Genealogical tree of the Chitteldroog family of Bhogars —



(x) About this Please see Govt Orda No: 30 of 22nd June 1895 & 7 357 of 1892. He appears to have had the same status in the family of birth as his other brothers.

a, b c d & e are living stipendiaries in the family.

Bangalore
23rd March 1905

H. in Chief State Engineer

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 257 of 1904-5

Serial No. ~~13~~ (13)

Diary No. of letter replied to 3887

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 5th May 1905

Date of issue do

Signed by W Satterwaite Chelly

READ.—

Correspondence ending with the letter No. 30 of the 28th March 1905, from the Officer in charge of the State Sturgeon Treasury in the application of Bolly Kasturi Rangappa Naik seeking sanction of the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja for adopting

ORDER THEREON.—No.

Gondgodli Brhmappa Naik the second son of Hucha Porsavanappa Naik and reporting the non existence of any objection on the part of the relatives of the said Bhandhas of Kasturi Rangappa Naik to the adoption and stating that they have no objection in the matter.

over

SUBJECT

MGCPB—9,000—11-9-04

Copied S&R 3/5

Order thereon H 7517 5 May/05

SIA 257-04-1⅓

~~An Instance~~
~~the petitioner applied for~~
~~their Profs~~ ~~No 1678 of the~~
~~29th Oct 1887~~ The Government
of H H The Maharaja of Mysore
are pleased to accord their
to ~~Sanction~~
Sanction ~~to~~
the adoption of Sri Jyothi Bhramappa
Pollegar Vaik by Kasturi Rangappa Vaik
of the Chitaldrug Pollegar Family. 6128

27.4.05

17.4.1905

Secretary

17/4/05

To The Officer in charge of
the State Treasury
for communication
to the parties concerned

15/4/05

27.4.05

30/4/05